

May 2017

Public Inquiries: Campaigner's Guide

Introduction

A public inquiry is an examination conducted by a Planning Inspector into a plan or planning application. The inquiry is there to set forward the case for and against a decision – with regards to a planning application, or to a whole plan including its policies. The inspector is part of an independent body in England and Wales (known as the Planning Inspectorate).

Through the public inquiry process you can make your voice heard in local decision-making. More often than not you will probably be objecting to either an application or a policy proposal, but you could also support good policy proposals or planning applications such as sustainable housing or renewable energy projects.

You have a right to be heard if you want to change the local plan – if you are in support, it is at the inspector's discretion that you can have a say.

Public Inquiries and all that Jazz....

Spelling mistake already?

No. Inquiry is spelt with an 'I' not an 'E'.

So what exactly is an Inquiry?

There are many forms of inquiry, but essentially there is an arm of the government called the Planning Inspectorate who organise highly structured meetings to take evidence in order to prepare a set of recommendations or take a decision. The public are allowed to attend and speak – for instance if you have made an objection to a local development framework.

They look in detail at a wide variety of planning documents and decisions. Long inquiries lasting more than a week are fairly rare, while 20% of cases are dealt with at hearings which last less than a day.

Who is the Planning Inspectorate?

The Planning Inspectorate is an Executive Agency which serves the Department for Communities and Local Government in England and the National Assembly for Wales. Scotland and Northern Ireland each have their own equivalent of the Planning Inspectorate.

What do they do?

The Inspectorate's main work is the processing of planning and enforcement appeals and holding examinations into development plans e.g. Local Plans. The Inspectorate also deals with a wide variety of other planning related casework including advertisement appeals, and reporting on planning applications called in for decision by the Secretary of State, various compulsory purchase orders, rights of way cases and cases arising from the Environmental Protection and Water Acts and the Transport and Works Act and other Highways Legislation. In addition they process applications for awards of costs which may arise from any of these.

The basic principle is that all parties should be allowed a fair chance to put forward their point of view whether in writing, or at a public hearing. Where a particular site is involved, it will be visited by the Inspector appointed to deal with the case. All evidence presented will be considered before a decision is made, which will also take published government policy into account. In most cases the decision is taken by the Inspector; in others the Inspector will prepare a report and make a recommendation to the Secretary of State.


Big stuff then, huh?

Very. The Inspectorate plays a vital role taking decisions which will affect both businesses and the public. On the whole they do great work and are very stretched with their workload. We may not necessarily always like the decisions they make, but Friends of the Earth fully support the Inspectorate and the work they do. The diagram over the page attempts to give some structure to the vexed question of 'what is an inquiry and when do they happen?'

How does the public Inquiry fit in with the rest of the campaign?


There is absolutely NO substitute for a well organised visual public campaign. The Inspector will hear about the campaign, read articles in local papers and see banners, window stickers etc. during his/her travels. Running a campaign is beyond the scope of this briefing, but it is absolutely essential that in the run up to a public inquiry, during and beyond, a campaign is run to highlight the public concern or views on the issue at hand. Remember to pick your campaign issues wisely – the most obvious need not be the most effective. Not many public inquiries are won solely on environmental grounds

Route map to Public Inquiry



Planning applications

Development Plans



Section 1: Overview

This briefing gives the background to planning inquiries and how they work in England. There are some helpful tips throughout the briefing and the list at the end of this document where to go for further information and advice. **Section 2** describes the different types of inquiry and the differences between them. **Section 3** outlines the procedures for an inquiry, and then **sections 4 and 5** discuss evidence in detail.

There are sets of rules and regulations which go with any formal procedure, be it a hearing, examination or an inquiry. It can get very complicated and messy, but if you are keen to learn more go to the references at the end of this briefing and use the links.

Key points in the public inquiry process

1. Key points in the public inquiry process
 - Notification letter
2. Pre inquiry meeting
3. Statements of case
4. Proofs of evidence
5. Public Inquiry
6. Decision
7. Appeals

During the lead up to an inquiry, there will be a set of deadlines to get certain things done. For example, a meeting may be held to discuss inquiry matters (but not the application itself) some weeks before the start of the inquiry - this is called a *pre inquiry meeting* or PIM for short (see section 3). *Proofs of evidence* are required from all who are involved, including the applicant (more usually known as the appellant), supporters, objectors, local planning authority and statutory consultees). A proof of evidence (POE) is essentially the case which is being made but in writing, so that the inspector and all other parties involved can easily read and refer to the arguments. These need to be written in a particular way, as described in section 4.

Top tip: get hold of the inquiry timetable

As soon as the inquiry is announced with the notification letter, the clock starts ticking. This letter will be sent by the relevant authority e.g. Local authority, Planning Inspectorate, Communities and Local Government. There are really crucial things for you to be aware of, as the timetable is usually set in stone and if you miss a deadline, it could jeopardise your involvement in an inquiry.

More often than not you will be objecting to either an application or a policy proposal, but you may also be supporting good policy proposals or planning applications such as sustainable housing or renewable energy projects. The case you make needs to accurately reflect your position, but the rules and process apply to both scenarios. Whether supporters will be allowed to present evidence is a matter for the Inspector to decide.

Above all, it is really important to find out who is 'on your side', and network. In this way you can compare approaches and arguments, and lend support to one another during the inquiry process. This will aid both your argument and your standing with the Inspector. It also avoids wasting time at the inquiry by repeating evidence given by someone else; repeating evidence does not strengthen it in the view of the inspector.

Remember – networking with others is key to success at a Public Inquiry

The best advice however, is very simple. As soon as you find out about an inquiry or hearing or whatever, ring up your local authority planning department and find out who the contact person is. That person will then be able to steer you in the right direction and help you with timetables, inquiry preparation, presentation etc. A Programme Officer (PO) will be employed for development plan examinations and may be employed for other inquiries. But whether or not a PO is appointed the local authority planning department will be able to advise you on the inquiry arrangements.

If a public inquiry is called for a planning application that concerns you, you have a good opportunity to present your case on behalf of your community. However, your involvement in the inquiry process can demand considerable commitment from you and your supporters. Organising your evidence and preparing your case can involve large amounts of time, effort, resources and, very likely, teamwork. But it may be still worth objecting even if you can't do so much preparation, just to flag the issues and support other objectors.

Types of Inquiry

The different types of inquiry are summarised here and described in detail in section 2.

The planning system has two parts, which have different kinds of public inquiry:

- i) setting policy (development plans) and
- ii) determining planning applications (development control).

Planning policy is set at the local level only now, and can be found in the form of maps and policy statements which are used to decide where development should take place and whether planning applications should be allowed.

One of the final stages of deciding these documents is an Examination. Examinations discuss amendments proposed by the objectors to the draft document. In England a planning authority will put together its strategic objectives/policies, development management policies and proposals maps into a document called a 'Local Plan' (previously known as the Local Development Framework). The hearing into the Local Plan is called an *Examination* (see **section 2**).

The equivalent to the Local Plan is the Local Development Plan (LDP) in Wales – see the Wales pack for the relevant briefings.

Two types of inquiries relate to planning applications, namely *Appeals*, and *Call-ins*. Appeals (see **section 2**) happen when the applicant appeals against the refusal of planning permission by the Council. Call-ins (see **section 2**) are for developments of more than local significance, so the decision is taken away from the council by the Secretary of State. These inquiries are generally very formal and similar to a law court. Cross examination is allowed, but don't let that put you off. **The public do have a right to be heard** at such inquiries, providing you have commented on the application when it was first advertised.

The Inspector

The inspector will be from the Planning Inspectorate. He or she will have a great deal of knowledge and experience of planning and the planning system, and will be

professionally qualified (for example a former local planning officer). The inspector may have one or more assistant inspectors.

The inspector has considerable discretion in running the inquiry, deciding the timetable and so on. If you have difficulties or special requirements it is well worth talking to the inspector, who may be able to help, or at least take them into account. Inspectors will try to ensure that the views of unrepresented third parties are understood and that they are not intimidated by the formality of the proceedings.

However, some objectors do give long rambling speeches that are largely irrelevant to the inquiry – the inspector can and will intervene to stop repetitious or irrelevant submissions. State your case clearly and concisely.

The inspectors have clear guidelines on what is and is not relevant to a planning inquiry, and they will set out what the main issues are when the inquiry opens – it is therefore really important that you are able to show how your case is relevant.

Top tip! The Inspector is your friend...

How to get into the inquiry

As the name suggests, the public can attend and listen to all public inquiries, but are only allowed to present evidence at some, subject to the inspector's discretion. The public does have a right to join in some types of inquiry and section 2 explains which types of inquiry give you that right.

Although you may have a right to be heard, you will need to tell the Planning Inspectorate that you want to make a case so that you can be included in the timetable and the mailings. Usually this means you need to make a formal objection or statement of support to the Inspectorate. This objection or statement can be a few sentences (called a Statement of Case) stating that your full case will be given later (as a Proof of Evidence). It should state broadly what your objection is.

At Examinations in Public you can only join in if you are invited. For these inquiries, the inspector chooses the topics to be discussed. He or she invites participants who are likely to make significant or interesting comments relating to these topics. If you want to be included in the inquiry you will need to make a significant objection on all the topics you are interested in, or join with a local NGO that is objecting.

The stages in an inquiry

The inquiry timetable is outlined in section 3 and listed in full in Annex C.

The inquiry, hearing or examination timetable is started with a notification letter, usually sent by the Planning Inspectorate.

It is crucial that you start getting all the relevant information about the inquiry from this date, as a rigid timetable kicks in as to when things have to happen. Indeed, any prior preparation of your case will be useful and save you time and stress.

Larger inquiries will have a *pre-inquiry meeting* (see **section 3**). Those who have said they wish to speak at the inquiry are invited. This is to discuss the arrangements for the inquiry. It is an opportunity to raise any problems you have and ask questions about procedure. But the merits of the planning application will not be discussed.

Top Tip: make sure you attend the Pre Inquiry Meetings and find out all you need to know.

In most types of inquiry, you will be expected to give written evidence some time before you get a chance to speak. This will state your case fully, although you will be able to expand on it verbally. Copies of this will be given to other participants and you will receive, or have access to, copies of theirs, and possibly a response to your evidence.

After this, you will be able to give written or verbal evidence. This may be in the form of an informal discussion or formal evidence with cross examination by other participants, depending on whether a hearing or inquiry is held.

The inspector will generally make one or more visits to the site or sites. There will be no discussion of the case at the site visit, although the inspector will need to be accompanied by the appellant (person who has put in the application to develop) or owner of the site to enable the inspector to get onto the site. You may be allowed to accompany the Inspector if he/she gives permission and the owner of the land has no objection.

Evidence

If you are appearing at an inquiry, hearing or examination the evidence you give will be both written and spoken. To be successful, you will need to give a strong and clear case. You will need to be factual as far as you can, but you can add how you feel about it. Controversial points need to be supported by evidence, usually in the form of references to accepted authorities.

Top tip: be absolutely clear about what you are giving evidence on

Written evidence is better if it is concise. You can use your verbal evidence to summarise your case and expand on it. You can read a speech or talk from notes or off the cuff. It is not necessary to read out your submitted written evidence as all the evidence is likely to be taken as read by the Inspector.

There are rules about what is and is not relevant to a planning inquiry. In general, environmental concerns are relevant, but you may need to explain why it is relevant. For this purpose you can quote national or local plan policies.

You will need to gather information to support your case. You can use the Freedom of Information Act and Environmental Information Regulations to gather the information. You need to read carefully the case of your opponents so that you can dispute the arguments they give. There are often technical reports that give details.

Verbal evidence may be given in an informal discussion or formally. In the formal case, you state your case and then you may be cross-examined on your evidence by the developer or the Council. You can also cross-examine their evidence if you wish and the Inspector gives you permission.

Top tip: do not underestimate the time taken to prepare and present your case.

Section 2: Types of Inquiry

Planning Appeals

Planning Appeals are held when an application for planning permission has been

refused by the Council (or has had conditions attached to it) and the developer has then appealed against the Council decision or where the developer appeals against the Council's failure to give a decision within the agreed period.

For most Planning Appeals, the inspector decides whether to uphold or change the decision of the Council, and gives that decision in a document which is sent to the Council and the developer, and to anyone else who asks for a copy. A small percentage of decisions are decided by the Secretary of State his/herself, usually because the development is large or controversial.

Further information can be found in **section 7**.

Call-ins

A Call-in is a planning application that has been 'called in' by the Secretary of State (SoS) Communities and Local Government (in England) or the Minister for Environment, Planning and Countryside (National Assembly for Wales) before the Council has made a decision. The call-in means that the SoS will decide on the application. The inspector produces a report and recommendations, and the SoS then makes a decision on the basis of that report.

If you think that an application should be called in (see **Annex A** for criteria) then you must write to the Secretary of State in England or at the Welsh Assembly Government and ask for the application to be called in, clearly explaining why.

The criteria to decide whether to call in an application in England are in **Annex A**. If a letter

is issued to the local planning authority, an Inspector is then appointed, and the process begins.

Remember – once the notification letter is sent out, the clock starts ticking!

Development Plan Inquiries and Examinations

Examinations are held to consider the soundness of Development Plan Documents (DPDs), under the Local Plan system (Local Development Plans in Wales). Anyone who makes representations seeking changes to Development Plan Documents (DPD) and asking to be heard in person has a right to be heard at the examination. The scale of the plan or DPD and the number and scale of representations on it, will determine how long the examination lasts, from a few weeks to several months. See references for the Inspectorate's guides on the examination and soundness systems.

Neighbourhood Plans (introduced by the Localism Act 2011) are examined in a different way, usually via written representations which do not include any form of public hearing. They are examined by an 'Independent examiner' (suitably qualified planning professional) instead of a Planning Inspector; although once agreed a neighbourhood plan becomes part of the statutory development plan for that area.

Section 3: Procedures

For Development Plan Document (DPD) examinations in England, the Inspector will consider all representations that have been received within the six week period following submission of the DPD

to the Planning Inspectorate. In Wales there is only one document known as a Local Development Plan (LDP) and representations on it are submitted direct to the local planning authority. However the basis for holding Examinations into these documents in both England and Wales is essentially the same.

If anyone has exercised their right to be heard in person, their representations will be heard by the Inspector at hearings (structured discussions led by the Inspector involving the LPA and people who have made representations) or round table discussions (a more formal discussion on e.g. housing supply figures), or at formal hearings where lawyers may be present.

The Inspector's report on the plan will only be issued once the local planning authority has consulted on any main modifications put forward by an Inspector (following the Examination) and the Inspector has had the opportunity to consider the representations on these.

Sometimes an Inspector may feel that too many substantial changes need to be made to make to a plan sound and direct the Local Authority to withdraw the plan it has submitted; but this is rare.

In exceptional circumstances you can apply for Judicial Review.

Pre-inquiry/pre-examination meeting (PIM/PEM)

It has become customary for the Inspectorate to arrange pre-inquiry meetings (PIM) prior to inquiries when they are expected to last several weeks, either because highly technical evidence is likely to be submitted or because the inquiry is to deal with several proposals involving more than one applicant or appellant. All the participants will be invited to this meeting.

In holding such meetings the inspector's objective is to encourage the parties to prepare for the inquiry, so that time will not be wasted or taken up with matters which are not relevant or in dispute.

Insofar as inquiry time is saved, the parties benefit directly; they are also likely to benefit indirectly where the inspector's task of preparing a decision letter or report is made easier.

Among the matters which may be discussed and resolved at pre-inquiry meetings are the timetable, the sitting hours, evidence formats, and the procedure at the inquiry. There may also be discussion about preparation and exchange of proofs, including format (e.g. to include a summary and with technical material as appendices), presentation and the timing of exchange of proofs in advance of the inquiry or of being submitted.

Top tip: If you are not sure about something, ask the question at the pre inquiry meeting.

Timetable

Please refer to Annex C for an indication of the way that an inquiry will be timetabled (programmed), but in essence the timetable produced by the programme officer (usually in consultation with the Inspector) will set out what will happen and when.

The inquiry programme is a matter for the Inspector's discretion, and needs to be arranged in an efficient and business-like way, although the programme officer will do

their best to meet the needs of people participating in the examination.

The aim of the inquiry programme is to give all participants a clear framework in which to operate, to avoid time wasting and ensure efficiency.

The inspector and programme officer will need estimates of the duration of the cases (including presentation, cross examination and re-examination) to be provided to allow a programme to be prepared prior to the inquiry.

Deadlines for submission of written evidence will be clearly set along with other relevant issues such as when the Inquiry will be in session.

Hours

Inquiries normally sit from 10am to 5pm, four days per week starting on Tuesdays. It is very important that you make your case at the PIM if you or your group will find it difficult to attend during working hours. For example, you may wish to ask whether some evening sessions can be arranged so that people at work can have a chance to speak. Evening sessions are held at the Inspector's discretion.

Presentation of cases

Whether parts of the evidence should be dealt with on a topic basis, what the inspector will be looking for in opening and closing submissions will be decided at the PIM. You may wish to ask for sessions where you will be able to present your own evidence and put questions to the appellant's witnesses on the same day. Otherwise, you may need to be at the inquiry for more than one day waiting to put your questions. Keeping in touch with the programme officer, where there is one, should help to avoid this problem.

Section 4: Evidence

Outline statement of case

An outline statement of case is a summary of the argument you are going to make. This is not required at Examinations into policy documents, but only in planning application inquiries, such as appeals against the refusal of planning permission.

Where a pre-inquiry meeting is held the local planning authority and the applicant/appellant will be required to provide an outline statement of case within eight weeks of the relevant date in order to provide the inspector with an idea of the issues to be aired at the eventual inquiry. An outline statement of case may also be required from any other major party which has indicated an intention to appear at the inquiry.

An outline statement of case is not intended to be the full summary of the submissions to be made at the inquiry. In the case of an appeal, the appellant's outline statement of case can be a list of the headings, with a short expansion of the grounds of appeal. In the case of the local planning authority it can be a list of the headings with a short expansion of the reasons for refusal.

Where there is a 'call-in', both the local planning authority and the applicant will need to assess what evidence is to be presented in order to cover the matters identified by the Secretary of State as important to his consideration of the proposals. Consequently, the outline statements of case of both the applicant and the local planning authority will set out the headings which will deal with the Secretary of State's points and, if appropriate, indicate whether the matters identified by the Secretary of State are sufficient for an understanding of the proposals and sufficient to form a basis for the Secretary of State's decision.

For an example of a statement of case, see **Annex B**.

Everyone involved in an Inquiry may need to produce for the Inspector a Statement of Case. However, if you are not going to be saying very much, check at the Pre Inquiry Meeting or send the Inspector a letter.

Top tip: refer to the letter from the Secretary of State or Planning Inspectorate setting out the questions to be probed at the Inquiry, and show how your case is going to answer them

Proof of Evidence

A proof of evidence is a written account of the case you want to put before the inspector at the inquiry.

Set aside as much time as possible to prepare it. Make sure that your points are easy to follow, as you will need to take the inspector through the arguments. Your evidence should be:-

clearly set out; this will help you to understand your case and help the inspector to understand it;

clearly written; use plain English;

supported by appropriate evidence;

comprehensive, but succinct. Don't leave things out just because you are reluctant to deal with them. Think of the difficult issues at this stage, and devote preparation time to how you will deal with them at the Inquiry;

truthful. If you are found to be dishonest, it will devalue your evidence; and

accurate. If you exaggerate your case, it will weaken it.

Presentation of your proof of evidence is important. Prepare a number of copies of your proofs of evidence. Find out at the PIM how many copies are needed and who needs them. Have some spare in case anyone at the inquiry session needs a copy. There is often a charge for using the photocopier supplied by the LPA. Double space what you intend to read out. Check for spelling and other silly things they would pick up on. Proofs are page numbered and paragraph numbered. Make sure your name and group is on each sheet, with perhaps the date and session if appropriate - anything to be helpful! Staple documents rather than paperclip (as the latter get lost). Use size 12 font or larger.

Top tip: keep it simple, to the point and accurate

Structure

It *may* help to structure your evidence as follows.

- 1 Establish your qualifications for giving evidence. Describe your involvement in local organisations and give details of any organisation that you are representing, including membership numbers.
- 2 Point out the features of the site that are important to you. Although others may do this, it is important to put your case in context, in your own way. Highlight what makes the proposed development unacceptable or acceptable.
- 3 Point out elements of particular concern or areas you support.
- 4 Draw attention to the elements of the development plan, national planning guidance and other official documents that strengthen your case. Only quote from them sparingly, but explain their relevance to the appeal.
- 5 Use any information about the planning history of the site that illustrates why the development would be inappropriate or otherwise.
- 6 Outline why you want the inspector to either turn down the appeal or approve it. You need to show the harm or benefits the proposal would cause. Use graphs, diagrams and statistics to simplify your case.
- 7 Include a summary of your evidence if your proof exceeds 1,500 words.
- 8 Supply any documents you have referred to in your evidence that the inspector will not have access to; the inspector will have copies of all national planning documents and the local planning authority will supply local policy documents.

Top tip: keep your evidence short and focussed

Section 5: Oral evidence

Oral evidence

You will need to have a written copy of your 'Proof of Evidence'. Use your time to explain the Proof of Evidence to the Inspector, and flesh out the details.

Presenting your evidence

When delivering your evidence, take a deep breath. This is your opportunity; remember, you have a right to be there. Take your time, as long as you are not wasting time and explain things written in your proof if needed.

Play humble and say this is your first time at a public inquiry. You can ask the Inquiry to stop you if need.

Cross-examination

You have the right to refuse to be cross-examined. However evidence that has been tested by questioning can usually be given more weight by the inspector. The object of cross-examination is two-fold:

- 1. to challenge evidence put forward by a witness to reduce its weight in the eyes of the inspector; and;**
- 2. to bring out helpful evidence from the witness**

If it is your first time at cross-examination or presenting evidence, be sure to tell the inspector. Remember, the Inspector's job is to get information and facts, not to have fun in seeing nervous people get trashed by heavy handed advocates.

If you are being cross-examined do not make the mistake of saving up good points to be introduced later during cross-examination, as the opportunity may not happen. Many lawyers at public inquiries are reluctant to cross-examine third parties.

If you are being cross-examined by an aggressive lawyer, stay calm and polite. Your evidence is there in writing in your proof of evidence, so refer the lawyer to the answer if it is in the proof.

Some questions you will be able to answer, others not, but it is OK to answer that you don't know. You can also say you will find out the information if needed. If, having been cross-examined, you feel there was something in the cross-examination you did not make clear, ask the inspector for an opportunity to do so.

Remember to listen carefully and answer the question you are asked.

Do not answer what you think the person cross-examining might mean by the question or try to anticipate the next question. If the point put to you is correct then do not try to be evasive. Answer 'Yes' if that is the correct answer.

If you have answered the question put to you, but wish to qualify the answer then you should be allowed to do so. If the person asking the questions tried to stop you qualifying your answer, then tell the inspector that you wish to qualify or add to your answer. But answer the question first without being evasive.

If you are cross-examining others, questions can be asked that arise out of the witness's whole proof of evidence, not just the summary.

You can have someone next to you to find documents and places in documents if you want. That person should have a copy of what you are saying.

Leading questions – which indicate the answer you want – play an important part in cross-examination. But you must not use leading questions if you are asking questions of a witness on your side.

Do not try to cross-examine another party's witness without preparing first. Make sure that you prepare the questions you wish to ask based on their proofs of evidence and sort out the order in which you propose to ask them. It helps to ensure that your questions are well tied down to answers which the witness has already given or to statements in the proof of evidence. Make sure that you do not ask the same questions which have already been asked, as the inspector will stop you if you repeat questions already answered.

Listen carefully to the cross-examination which has already taken place and make notes of important answers. It may give you further materials for your cross-examination.

Cross-examination can be difficult when a witness stonewalls all your questions. Explain that the question has not been answered and try again. Perhaps try putting it a different way. Be polite but firm. If you are having no success, ask the inspector for help. You cannot always get the answer you want, but you should get an answer. Pay attention to the questions asked by the inspector of all witnesses. This is one of the few pointers you will get about the issues on which the inspector is focusing.

Section 6: The decision

Decisions

The vast majority of planning applications are decided by Councils without a public inquiry, but a public inquiry can be held before a decision is made if the application is important or controversial. In this case the decision will be made by the Secretary of State (rather than the Council), taking into account the report and recommendation of the inquiry inspector. These decisions are known 'as Call-ins'

These are 'Call ins' because the application is 'called in' by the Secretary of State (see **section 3.2**). If an application is refused by the Council and the developer appeals then an inquiry or hearing will be held if the case is of sufficient complexity to warrant more than a site visit and exchange of written representations (see **section 3**).

When the discussions with all parties are complete, the inspector will write down his or her conclusions. In the case of a planning appeal, the inspector will make a decision and write a 'decision document'. This decision can then be challenged in the High Court by the developer (appellant), the LPA, or any person who made representations during the course of a planning appeal. In enforcement appeals the right of challenge is restricted to the appellant, LPA and those having an interest in the land to which the enforcement notice relates. Judicial Review is the only recourse you will have if you are not covered by any of these as there are no third party rights of appeal in the UK.

For other inquiries the Inspector will write a report and make recommendations to the Secretary of State, or National Assembly for Wales, who will make the final decisions.

For Local Plan inquiries, the Inspectors report used to be binding when presented to the local authority. This has now changed and the Inspector's report on the plan will only be issued once the local planning authority has consulted on any main modifications and the Inspector has had the opportunity to consider the representations on these.

(see *Planning Practice Guidance* - [Paragraph: 024 Reference ID: 12-024-20140306](#) for further details)

Remember - the Inspector's report on the plan will only be issued once the local planning authority has consulted on any main modifications and the Inspector has had the opportunity to consider the representations on these.

The decision document or letter

In most circumstances the decision will be made by the Inspector, except on those cases requiring reports with a recommendation to the Secretary of State (e.g call-ins) who will make the final decision.

The decision will be in the form of a document or letter, sent out to all parties involved. It will be worth keeping any eye on any relevant web sites.

Challenging the decision

Appeal decisions can only be challenged via the High Court within 6 weeks of the date of the decision. It is worth noting that If you lose, you usually have to pay the other parties' costs as well as your own; although some Legal Aid may be available.

The local authority's decision to adopt a development plan or DPD after an inquiry or examinations can also be challenged in the High Court.

It is also now possible to request the correction of a 'correctable error' by making a request in writing

within 6 weeks, provided the error is not part of any reasons given for the decision. See section 56 of the [Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004](#) regarding correctable errors, and also the section on complaints in the Planning Inspectorate website which covers complaints and challenges.

Annex A – Call-ins

The following is taken from Calling in Planning Applications – House of Commons Library (July 2016)

–

<http://researchbriefings.files.parliament.uk/documents/SN00930/SN00930.pdf>

The Government reaffirmed its position on calling-in applications in May 2012: – The Minister of State, Department for Communities and Local Government (*then* Greg Clark):

The Government believe that planning decisions should be taken in, and by, local communities, and so use their call-in powers sparingly. Essentially, the powers are used when matters are of national significance

In a written statement on 30 June 2008, the Secretary of State set out the circumstances in which the Secretary of State would consider recovering appeals:

- proposals for development of major importance having more than local significance;
- proposals giving rise to substantial regional or national controversy;
- proposals which raise important or novel issues of development control, and/or legal difficulties;
- proposals against which another Government department has raised major objections or has a major interest;
- proposals of major significance for the delivery of the Government's climate change programme and energy policies;
- proposals for residential development of over 150 units or on sites of over 5 hectares, which would significantly impact on the Government's objective to secure a better balance between housing demand and supply and create high quality, sustainable, mixed and inclusive communities;
- proposals which involve any main town centre use or uses where that use or uses comprise(s) over 9,000m² gross floorspace (either as a single proposal or as part of or in combination with other current proposals) and which are proposed on a site in an edge-of- centre or out-of-centre

Recent additions to the above list include:

- appeals involving unauthorised development in the green belt (*via letter: 31 August 2015 letter to Chief Planning Officers in England*)
- appeals for renewable energy development (*extended for a year from 9th April 2014 – April 2015; although not further announcements have been made and timeframe may have run out*).
- use of Neighbourhood plans and housing (*On 7 July 2016 the Government extended the period for another six months – January 2017 – but limited the criteria to residential development of more than 25 units...again this timeframe may have expired as no further announcement has been made*)
- appeals for exploring and developing shale gas (*until 16th September 2017 when it will be reviewed*)

Annex B – Statement of Case example

Application by Peel Airports (Finningley) Ltd:

Land at Doncaster Finningley Airport, Hayfield Lane Doncaster

Your Reference: APP/F4410/V/01/000266

Rule 6: Outline Statement of Case submitted by Friends of the Earth

The proposed Finningley airport is a large scale development with local, regional, national and international implications for land use, the environment and communities.

The task for all planning decision making is to reach a balanced judgement as to the economic benefits and social and environmental impacts of a land use development. FOE believes that the application at Finningley fails to demonstrate a transport and an economic case for the development which outweighs the undoubted environmental and social impacts.

The applicant has failed to demonstrate that there is a need for additional airport capacity in the region. Whilst the stated regeneration and employment benefits of the application remain not proven the application will have a number of notable environmental and social impacts, relating to noise and air pollution, nature conservation, transport and climate change. These environmental impacts mean that the development is also in breach of well-established national planning frameworks.

For these reasons FOE Y&H believe that there is a clear case for the application for Finningley airport to be refused.

At the Public Inquiry Friends of the Earth will examine:

- the relationship between the application and the Doncaster UDP & the planning brief for the airport; emerging Regional Planning Guidance; national planning guidance, and aviation & transport policy; and European guidance and directives;
- the need for the development and, within the trend of regional and national aviation growth, the case for the development not to proceed;
- transport and accessibility issues associated with the application;
- the environmental and social impact of the application (e.g. noise and air pollution, nature conservation, consequential development, and other aspects), and also economic and funding aspects; and whether the development is consistent with sustainable development principles and will contribute to overall sustainable development; and will show that a case for the proposed development has not been made.

Further detail of the case to be submitted by FOE are set out in the FOE initial statement previously submitted to the Planning Inspectorate and circulated to all parties by the latter's letter of 18th July.

FOE are opposed to the development proceeding; in particular circumstances FOE would wish to see appropriate conditions imposed.

Document list

In addition to documents listed by other parties:

AEF/ Brendon Sewill: Airports Policy - a flawed approach 2000; Tax Free Aviation 2000

BAA Heathrow: Heathrow Area Employee Travel Initiative

Berkeley Hanover Consulting: The impacts of future aviation growth in the UK 2000

David Banister, Joseph Berechman: Transport investment and economic development

DETR: Northern Air Services Study, and Regional Air Services Coordinating Study

DETR: Future of Transport White Paper 1998

DETR Guidance on Airport Transport Forums and Airport Surface Access Strategies 1999

DETR: UK Climate Change Strategy 2000

FOE: Plane Crazy - airport growth in the UK 1999

FOE: Aviation and noise 1998

FOE: Aviation and global climate change 2000

FOE: From planes to trains 2000

FOE Netherlands: The Myths of Flying - putting aviation's economic benefits into perspective 1998

FOE Netherlands: Environmental Policies at Europe's Airports

Institute for Public Policy Research: Air Transport Growth: the macroeconomic viewpoint 2001; Plane Trading - policies for reducing the climate change effects of international aviation

IPCC: Aviation and the Global Atmosphere 1999

John Whitelegg, Nick Williams: The Plane Truth - aviation and the environment 2001

Railtrack: Network Management Statement

Regional Aviation Environment Forum: Response to Future of Aviation 2001

Royal Commission on Environmental Pollution 18th report: Transport and the Environment 1994; 22nd report: Energy - the Changing Climate 2000

SACTRA: Transport and the Economy 1999

Strategic Rail Authority: A strategic Agenda 2001; documents relating to the East Coast Main Line franchise renewal.

Annex C - Inquiry Timetable

Weeks before Inquiry	Local Development Framework (development plan document)	Appeal -written Appeal 6 months within of refusal	Appeal -hearing	Public Inquiry (SoS Rules 2000)	Call-in by Secretary of State
24 weeks					
22 weeks					Call-in letter and SOM sent. Max time 22weeks from initial date to PI
21 weeks					PINS acknowledges receipt of all documents for call-in
20 weeks				PINS acknowledges receipt of all documents for appeal. Inquiry date set not later than 20 weeks. LPA notifies stats.	LPA to inform all who made representations at the application stage to be notified of timetable
18 weeks				LPA to ensure that SoS and the Appellant receive a completed appeals questionnaire and a copy of each of the documents referred to in it. All who made representations at the application stage to be notified and timetable. Inspector name to be announced.	
16 weeks					Rule 6 Statement of Cases submitted

Weeks before Inquiry	Local Development Framework (development plan document)	Appeal -written Appeal 6 months within of refusal	Appeal -hearing	Public Inquiry (SoS Rules 2000)	Call-in by Secretary of State
14 weeks				All initial outline statements of case to be submitted	Where PIM called by SOS outline statements of cases submitted
12 weeks		Day 1 - DOE acknowledgement Day 5 - LPA notifies everyone who submitted representations on original planning application	Notice of appeal, acknowledged by PINS. Appellant and LPA offered local inquiry or hearing		
11 weeks				Responses to Statements of Case	Responses to statements of case
10 weeks		LPA completes questionnaire about the case to PINS	* LPA returns questionnaire to PINS. * Interested parties and consultees notified.		
8 Weeks	Pre examination meeting (or 12 weeks for more complex documents). Timetable to be set by Inspector	Representations from interested persons		Inspector announces the matters he particularly wishes to be informed about.	Pre Inquiry Meeting
7 weeks	LPA to adopt as quickly as possible			2 weeks' notice given of Pre Inquiry Meeting	
6 weeks		LPA separate statement (if any)	All written hearing statements to PINS		

Weeks before Inquiry	Local Development Framework (development plan document)	Appeal -written Appeal 6 months within of refusal	Appeal -hearing	Public Inquiry (SoS Rules 2000)	Call-in by Secretary of State
5 weeks					
4 weeks				4 weeks' notice given of PI. Deadline of all Proofs of Evidence to SoS	Deadline for submission of Proofs and Statement of Common Ground
3 weeks		Appellants & LPA response to representations	LPA / Appellant comments on hearing statements to PINS		
2 weeks			A hearing date is offered and must be accepted or not within 7 days		
0 weeks			Public Hearing	Public Inquiry	Public inquiry
				No date for findings needed, though Govt targets are set each year.	
					Inspector's report submitted

Sources of help

It is vital that you get hold of several excellent publications which go into much greater detail than this briefing.

Planning Inspectorate guidance

on taking part in planning appeals:

- by Inquiry: <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/planning-appeals-dealt-with-by-an-inquiry-taking-part>

- by Hearing: <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/planning-appeals-dealt-with-by-a-hearing-taking-part>

- by written representations: <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/planning-appeals-dealt-with-by-written-representations-taking-part>

on Local Plans

<https://www.gov.uk/guidance/local-plans--2>

Guide to Examining Development Plan Documents (England)

<https://www.gov.uk/guidance/local-plans--2#publication-and-examination-of-a-local-plan>

Guide to the Examination of Local Development Plans (Wales)

<http://gov.wales/topics/planning/appeals/examination-process/?lang=en>

Planning appeals

<https://www.gov.uk/guidance/appeals>

Called in applications: Inquiry by hearing or by letter?

<https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/planning-applications-called-in-decisions-and-recovered-appeals>

Call ins

<http://researchbriefings.files.parliament.uk/documents/SN00930/SN00930.pdf>

The Conduct of Planning Inquiries

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/conduct-of-inquiries>

Inspectors Code of Conduct

<https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/planning-inspectorate>

Examining Local Plans: Procedural Practice <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/examining-local-plans-procedural-practice>

Planning Practice Guidance

<https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/planning-practice-guidance>

<https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/planning-practice-guidance>

National Planning Policy Framework <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-planning-policy-framework--2>

Further information and guidance:

Friends of the Earth – Tel: 020 7490 1555

1st Floor, The Printworks, 139 Clapham Road, London, SW9 0HP.

Website: www.foe.co.uk

Planning Team: Email: planning@foe.co.uk

Rights and Justice Centre

The Friends of the Earth Rights & Justice Centre provides legal advice and representation to people who want to use the law to protect their communities and the environment. We take on a small number of environmental “public interest” cases on behalf of these groups. We try in particular to work with community groups who suffer the brunt of bad environmental decisions and who cannot otherwise get legal advice. We also try to take ‘test cases’ that will help other communities in the future.

If you hear of an individual or community group (it doesn’t have to be a Friends of the Earth local group) who needs legal advice, you can put them in touch with the legal team at legal@foe.co.uk.

Useful web sites

Government

Department for Communities and Local Government
<https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/department-for-communities-and-local-government>

The Planning Inspectorate
<https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/planning-inspectorate>

Environment Agency
<https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/environment-agency>

Environment Agency Public Registers
<https://www.gov.uk/guidance/access-the-public-register-for-environmental-information>

Information Commissioners Office
<https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/information-commissioner-s-office>

Neighbourhood Statistics
www.neighbourhood.statistics.gov.uk

The Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004
<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2004/5/contents>

Planning Portal
www.planningportal.gov.uk

Non Governmental Organisations (NGOs)
Air Quality – UK National Air Quality site
www.airquality.co.uk

Campaign to Protect Rural England planning site
www.planninghelp.org.uk

Environmental Law Foundation
www.elflaw.org/

Liberty
www.liberty-human-rights.org.uk/

Wildlife and Countryside Link.
www.wcl.org.uk